

A Question for a NON-PEER REVIEWED article in VIEWPOINT

Topic: Gambling

Length: 1500-3000 (not including references)

Question

The *Productivity Commission Inquiry Report into Gambling* (2010) contained about 40 recommendations. Some of the key issues involved how to deal with problem gamblers and how to deal with online gambling.

What is your (organisations) view about gambling in general?

What is your (organisations) view on the Productivity Commission's report, particularly in relation to the proposed recommendations to reduce the harm to problem gamblers and whether or not to regulate online gambling?

What is your (organisations) response to the fact that gambling can cause harm to some individuals and the wider community but that gambling revenue (particularly through gaming at Clubs) is a major contributor to the community (for example, through taxation, by contributions to community groups and by the provision of sporting facilities and employment)?

Considerations

In your article could you please address the questions above in the context of the following:

- It is recommended that you reference key journals, academics or other notable figures that support your view.
- You should use relevant and contemporary examples to justify your position and critically respond to the main concerns and arguments against your position.
- Articles should contain clear recommendations or suggestions to policy makers with a particular eye towards swaying an equivocal politician towards your point of view.